IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION No. 7:11-CV-191-D

TERESA SHIELDS-ROBERSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On December 26, 2012, Magistrate Judge Webb issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 31]. On January 9, 2013, Magistrate Judge Webb issued an amendment to the M&R [D.E. 32]. In the M&R, Judge Webb recommended that plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] be granted, that defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 26] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R or the amendment.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration in original) (emphasis and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 31–32]. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] is GRANTED, defendant's motion

for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 26] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This **30** day of January 2013.

JAMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge